NEW NL# 175 • Whole Number 8 • May 1987 • \$1.50 LIBERTARIAN

Notes & Calendar 18.1 *≥*

"Lone Ranger" vs "Tonto" for LP Presidential Nomination?

Indian activist **Russell Means** takes on Texan Old Rightist Ron Paul in the 1988 Libertarian Party race. **Murray Rothbard** favours the former Republican Representative from the Lone Star State and tells us why, and why we should all join him, in his new column we had to call *Murray!* beginning on **Page 2** of this issue!

Movement Reels From "White January" Page Three

NLCo Bows To Market Demand — New Format & New Volume for N&C

Market survey and most importantly, subscriptions and renewals, have overwhelmingly endorsed **NEW LIBERTARIAN** *Notes & Calendar*. Circulation of *N&C* has passed a third of **NL** (1000) and threatens to hit a half or more. The Market spoke in many ways:

- No one likes the two-tiered subscription system between **NL** and **NLN&C**, especially our Circulation Manager, Victor. The evolution of this system goes back to the collapse of our *weekly* schedule in 1978 and our slow progress to return to a near-monthly schedule. In essence, we are trying to have our popular cake (**NL** as a full-sized magazine) and eat it (publishing monthly or close) as well.
- You did like the news and views. The Smart Set concentrated on being a social calendar and was the biggest publishing failure of entrepreneur-activist SEK3. N&C picked up SS but added NL's Notes & Views aspect. The complete reversal in market response has spoken.
- You love our new graphics. Good. We are committed to them now.
- You want more news, more controversy, more burning issues—and more often! We may have figured out a way.
- You love the "In" news, gossip and terminology <u>and</u> you want it to be more accessible. Good luck, but we will run some major articles

WE'RE BIGGER & MORE OFTEN

[Continued on page four, column one]

WASHINGTON REPORT

by E. Scott Royce

Israel Wins The Elections

The direction that the new Congress, the first under Democratic control since 1980, will take on some key issues is not yet clear. You can rest assured, however, that it will be even more slavishly loyal to Israeli interests than its predecessor.

Following the 1986 elections, pundits analyzed the impact of the Dems' Senate takeover on virtually every other major issue. On the positive side, for example, it means fewer votes for anti-abortion measures, Reagan meddling in Central America and Africa, and the administration's worst judicial nominees. Unfortunately there will also be even less support on Capitol Hill for real spending restraint, more votes for protectionist trade measures, and greater receptiveness to conscription legislation.

Virtually unnoticed in the post-election commentary, however, was that candidates favored by the Israeli Lobby swept many close Senate contests:

- Israel backers gave a major boost to the campaign treasury of Senator Alan Cranston (Democrat, California). They also undermined his moderate GOP opponent by pouring last-minute contributions into the coffers of a right-wing third party candidate, who drew off conservative votes.
- Israeli Lobby backing helped Sen. Bob Kasten (R-Wis.), whose work on tax issues was overshadowed last year by stories about his overimbibing, win narrowly.
- Rep. Tom Daschle (D–S.D.) sent out shameless appeals for funds to Israel Firsters. The response aided him in ousting Arab-American Sen. James Abdnor.

One of the few places where such backing failed to pay off was Idaho, where Sen. Steve Symms' support by New Right groups helped him overcome a strong challenge by Gov. John Evans (D).

In December, Washington Jewish Week reported that Israel's buddies in Congress were already conferring about what goodies they could

[continued on page three, column one]

Calendar

of Libertarian Social Events

Codes: Agorist Ratings: -1 = statist; 0 = non-libertarian; 1 = mixed at best; 2 = mostly partyarch (political) but redeeming features; 3 = mostly libertarian; 4 = counter-economic and/or hard-core libertarian; 5 = pure agorist. Only programmes rated, not clubs, speakers or venue.

Times are PM unless otherwise stated. (c) for cocktails, (d) for dinner, (p) for programme, and (a) for announcements. Price 1/Price 2 = Dinner & Speaker/Speaker Only. GoH = Guest of Honour.

Notes Toward Improving Cal-

I suspect our impendarquency will correct the main problem of **NL**'s Calendar: getting you meeting organizers to send in your listings and send them in on time!

But there are other problems:

- ✓ One local supper club refuses to mail notices to the press; you must be a paying member or no notice!
- ✓ One supper club discriminates against at least one currently-persecuted minority group; do we list it noting discrimination *or* not list it at all?
- ✓ Yet another local supper club has libertarian speakers but considers itself non-libertarian and anti-agorist. In or out?
- ✓ Some overtly LP-run supper clubs protest that their money does *not* go to the LP in the sense that it does not go out of the club's expenses. Short of the checking their books, how do we know? Anti-party libertarians will consider themselves defrauded if they attend covert LP meetings.

And so on.

We want an *open* movement. Yet if *we* discriminate against discriminatory supper clubs, *we* are accused of discrimination. So we must make some hard choices. Here they are, at least for now.

NL will loosen its "standards" for listing but will continue to point out exclusive and discriminatory policies in the listings. We will err in the direction of inclusion. Send it in, we run it. Your suggestions welcome. Letters appear in our next issue.

—SEK3

March 30 C.O.O.L. Club (Committee to Organize An Open Libertarian Supper Club) (Last Monday) "What Kind Of Open Libertarian Meeting Market Is There And How Do We Serve It?" with anyone interested. [5] || 6:45–9:45. No dinner. At Al Seminar Room, Suite 202, 236 East Third Street, Long Beach. No charge but prepare to advise and work. Reserve at (213) 590-0486.

April 1 Free Marin Supper Club (First Wednesday – Fourth Anniversary) "Coping With the State" with Lee M. Shulman, Ph.D. and Joyce Shulman, Ph.D. April Fool? [1] || 6:30 (beer & wine), 7:15 (d), 8:15 (p). \$14/5 at Tiffany's Restaurant, 100 Nellen Ave. (Lucky Drive exit off Hwy 101), Corte Madera, CA. You must reserve 48 hours prior from Dan Dougherty (415) 457-1411; FMSC, Box 367, Kentfield, CA 94914-0367.

April 4 Richard Kyle Books (Saturday) Autograph Party for Victor Koman and his Jehovah Contract. [5] || 1-4 P.M.. At Richard Kyle,

[continued on page three, column two]

Murray!

by Murray N. Rothbard

The Party Livens Up

Anti-partyarchs, such as Editor SEK3, regard the Libertarian Party as intrinsically evil. You think in terms of shifts to and from LP and movement. Those of us, such as myself or Mike Holmes, who are pro-LP, regard the LP and the rest of the movement as complementary, so we don't think in terms of dramatic shifts in and out of Party activity. Only a few tunnel-vision Partyarchs regard the LP as the exclusive repository of the movement.

Second, NL's Notes & Views was flat wrong in the statement that there was no fight over the last National Chairman. Quite the contrary. It was a close and exciting fight over national chair at the 1985 Phoenix convention, among no less than four people. There was the "Berglandian" candidate, or what you would call "Rothbardian" candidate, supported by Bergland, Sharon Ayres, Bill Evers, Dave Walter, Emil Franzi, and myself. That was Randy Ver Hagen, of the Wisconsin Party. Another candidate was Steve Fielder, leader of the "neo-Craniac" Eastern wing of the Party [that is, Crane Machiners of the second or third rank who did not follow the Master out of the LP and into the Republican ranks, largely because they never made it to the Koch payroll]. Third was ultra-decentralist Cliff $The is\, of\, Montana\, [shifting\, to\, Baltimore]\, backed$ by the Clarks and the Texas Party, largely from disgruntlement out of Ver Hagen's rather brusque firing of Honey Lanham of Texas as national director several months before. Fourth was Jim Turney of Virginia, Audio Forum, and Libertarian International, well-known as inveterate taper of any and all libertarian sessions, nice guy, who had not been very active in the LP and therefore had made no enemes—therefore, everyone's second choice, It turned out that Turney was Fielder's secret second choice, and they ran as a kind of entry.

At any rate, Ver Hagen, clearly the most competent of the four, was not a glad-hander, and thereby alienated the troops, many of whom were and still are into touchie-feelie as their criterion for electing anyone [since the post was national chair, there were no overt ideological differences]. Ver Hagen had a plurality on the first ballot, but couldn't add to his strength, and as each guy kept dropping out, Turney won most of his votes, with Fielder doing a most unusual thing, for the LP, in endorsing Turney as he dropped out. As a result, Turney won on the fourth ballot, on what I believe to be repellent grounds familiar in many organizations: namely, someone who made the least enemies, and was nice to everyone.

Hessians Routed

We come now to the Karl Hess phenomenon. Karl comes from West Virginia, Boss Fielder's domain, and so Fielder is his "control." Since this is one of Turney's few accomplishments in his reign, they are all-out backers of Karl. It is not true that they had to go outside the LP to find an editor; they could have gotten a number of competent people. Mike Holmes, for example, would have continued on as editor if asked. But he of course was not in the "Eastern" camp.

I love Karl personally, but of course believe that his editing philosophy is a disaster for the party-building organ that the *LP News* should be. [And 'fess up, Sam, if you were pro-Party you'd feel the same way.]

Neither did I exactly "lose" on the struggle with Hess. On the contrary, Turney-Fielder cracked down and refused to allow Karl to continue this open debate. There was an interesting and ironic twist: Karl, committed to "openness" over all, wanted to publish my letter calling for his resignation; Turney and Fielder, plus the Evers-Bergland-"Rothbardian" opposition, were strongly opposed to Hess publishing the letter. I, of course, was deliciously torn on this one: I figured I couldn't lose either way. If Hess won, my letter getss published; if not, then my strategic doctrine of what a party organ should be would have won. As it is, the "compromise" reached was fine: that my letter would be published and then all discussion would stop.

I was surprised to hear that Karl took my attack very personally and was quite upset; surprised because after all he had called for an open debate over the issue, "let the game begin!" and I figured, O.K., if he wants an argument, I'll give him one.

At any rate, the argument is now dormant but ready to break open at any time. At the NatCom meeting in L.A. in December, the NatCom cut Karl's budget. More important was a private "summit meeting" between the two camps [I was not present but heard about it]* in which Turney & Fielder capitulated and promised to confine Karl's editing to the Party By-laws, which preclude taking ads from anti-Party forces, etc. The interesting thing is that Karl professes never to have heard of this agreement, and claims continued editorial independence. So if and when Karl breaks the LP guidelines, the fat will be in the fire and the opposition call for his ouster will well up.

Texan vs Indian

SEK3's quasi-obit for the Party might be spectacularly ill-timed, through no fault of his own. Ex-Rep. Ron Paul of Texas announced his candidacy for the Presidential nomination at the Cal LP convention in San Francisco, on Feb. 16. Also announced is the ex-leader of the American Indian movement, Russell Means. So far, Means's main supporters are Honey Lanham, disgruntled at her ouster, and Larry Dodge of the Montana Party, a veteran pro-Indian.

I am excited at the idea of a Ron Paul race. First of all, unlike Crane Machine outreach, Ron Paul and his staff are all hard-core libertarians. Paul, in the last few years has been radicalized by his experience in Washington and in the Republican Party, sort of crossing the Kochtopus on the way in. The exciting thing about the Paul race is that the strategy is to revive the Old

Right [I mean the old, Old Right of Taft, Wherry, Bricker, etc.] coalition. That means, while hewing to the hard-core LP Platform line, to stress as campaign themes coalition-building with the Old Right masses who are still out there, I am convinced, but have lacked leadership. The major themes of the Paul campaign, if he gets nominated, will be:

 $\sqrt{}$ The Liberty Amendment, repeal of the income tax, and an end to IRS tyranny.

 $\sqrt{\text{Abolition of the Federal Reserve}}$, and return to the gold standard.

 $\sqrt{}$ Opposition to the draft, and registration, and to all interferences with personal freedom, *including* all gun-control laws.

 $\sqrt{}$ All-out opposition to all foreign intervention, including an explicit revival of that grand old slogan: "Bring the Boys Back Home!" Of course, we would have a field day with Iranscam-Contragate, *etc*.

 $\sqrt{\text{All-out opposition to foreign aid, with frankly no exception made for the State of Israel.}}$

 \sqrt{A} revival of what I like to call "power élite analysis," or what our enemies call "conspiracy theory:" exposing control by the Trilateralists, international bankers, *etc*.

Now, 'fess up, Sam, despite your dyed-inthe-wool opposition to the LP, don't you find the revival of the Grand Old Right an exciting prospect? Note the tremendous difference between this kind of "outreach" and the Kochtopusian quest for Respectability among the Media, the Corridors of Power, Establishment intellectuals; vs. the Pauline strategy of reaching out to the broad Old Right masses over the heads of and against the Establishment: a genuine libertarian "populism!" For once, we have a chance to make a real mark and a real change in American politics.

Getting the nomination will not be a cinch, however. The only place Ron Paul differs from the LP Platform is on abortion, where he takes the right-to-life view. Now, even though I am strongly pro-choice, I think abortion is one issue where the "deviationists" have a strong case. The idea that the fetus is human with full rights, overriding the mother's right to her own body, is not self-evidently absurd, and I wouldn't excommunicate anyone for this deviation. Also, Ron does not intend to make anti-abortion a theme of his campaign; since he believes that abortion, like murder, is a state and local, not a federal, issue, he is not going to raise it. His enemies will of course raise it, and if asked he will simply say that he differs with the LP Platform and that he respects the opposing posi-

He is also going to preempt the drug-issue smear that strong LP candidates always receive at the last minute by stating up front why he, though opposed to drugs, is in favor of drug-freedom.

The pro-Means opposition is making the abortion issue the focal point of their opposition to Ron Paul, but frankly I think this is a cover for their real opposition, which is cultural. Ron and his staff have not been LP members until now, and they have no familiarity not just with LP

[Continued on Page Three, Column One]



personnel, but with the political culture of the LP, which is distinctive, and differs from the Republicans they are used to [I'm not talking about ideology, but attitudes, outlook, style, etc.] Not knowing the players or the culture, the Paulites are bound to make a lot of mistakes, and this may piss off enough people, whereas the Indian is being handled by long-time party stalwarts. So that's the story, and it looks like a hot time up to and including the Seattle convention over the Labor Day weekend. In the last analysis, it comes down to whether the LPers are big enough to overlook cultural differences, and petty frictions for the sake of the larger cause. The answer is in doubt. —MNR

*The backroom deal discussed here was reported in Mike Holmes' *American Libertarian*, *No. 7.*

Scott Royce's WASHINGTON REPORT

[continued from page one, column two]

bestow upon it in 1987. Their agenda includes keeping Israel the number one recipient of US foreign aid largesse, forgiving more than half billion bucks worth of interest payments on past loans, *etc*.

But the member of Congress in the best position to bail out Israel this year may be Sen. Daniel Inouye (D–Haw.), also re-elected in '86. Inouye — one of Israel's most loyal apologists on Capitol Hill, a Japanese-American who once contemplated conversion to Judaism—will head the Senate probe of the Iran-Contra arms scandal.

Information officially disclosed and leaked to the media so far indicates that Israel played a crucial rôle in all aspects of the Iran-Contra affair. As a few gutsy journalists have suggested, however, Inouye and other Israel Firsters are likely to do everything possible to steer the Senate inquiry away from the question of the ministate's involvement.

America's neo-fascist ally in the Mideast — and its faithful PACs in the U.S. — are counting on them. —ESR

'White January' for Agorist Institute

and Southern California Libertarians...

Post-Christmas 1986 saw the beginning of synchronized recession in the Agora. As the White Market suffered Black Thursday in 1929, the Counter-Economy calls this bottom "White January." Several agorists in the Southern California condensation found themselves "between jobs" simultaneously during January and February 1987. The leading backer of The Agorist Institute withdrew his support and AI, in a case of microdepression, was forced to wash out its anticipatory spending including postponing courses, conferences and publications. And New Libertarian Enterprises, which provides Movement type at or below cost, lots its second biggest client

Recovery began in mid-March — an economic Spring Thaw. AI will survive and felt healthy enough to "call for papers" for a June production of its first *Agorist [Quarterly]* journal. NLE has nearly made up the difference in additional smaller clients and is 'putting out' for the Movement again. All the "casualties" have either found new jobs, switched to full-time entrepreneuring, or, in one case, moved to Northern California (reversing the long-term trend).

Historians—and economists—of the Libertarian Movement and early Agorist Movement may wish to note the occurrence and predict the probability of such a coincidental occurrence happening again.

All should survive. **NewLibertarian Company of Free Traders (NLCo)**, publishers of **NEW LIBERTARIAN**, bucked the trend, regaining a large number of renewals during its hiatus and continuing its circulation growth. Those interested in getting their type done by the hard-core professionals who subsidize **NL** by doing its type ought to send \$3 for their magnificent *Type Book and MicroMod Publishing Manual* (refunded with paid job) to NLE, P.O. Box 1748, Long Beach, CA 90801-1748. Yes, [ALHto].

And contributions, tax-deductible donations, and even inquiries are particularly welcome right now at The Agorist Institute, Outreach Department: Publications & Seminars, 236 East Third Street, Long Beach, CA 90802 • (213) 590-0488.

...The Agorist Institute Survives

Libertarian Calendar

[continued from page one, column three]

Books, 242 East Third St. (next door to Agorist Institute), Long Beach, CA 90802; phone (213) 432-

April 12 Anarchofeminist Interhuman Relationship (Sunday) "Sexism: What Men & Women Do To Further It and How They Can Combat It" [3] || 2:00 P.M. No charge. 632 Cloverdale, Apt. 106, Los Angeles. A New Iron Column event; info from (714) 778-3675.

April 15 *Tax Is Theft! Day* demonstrations around the country. **[–5].**

Aprii 17–19 Balticon 21 Major eastern regional SF convention which may have a major contingent of frefenincluding SEK3, Jared Lobdell, and many others. || At Omni International Hotel, Baltimore. \$20 at the door. Info: Balticon 21, Box 686, Baltimore, MD 21203. [–1–5]

April 19 International Music Workers Union (Third Sunday) Anarchosyndicalist Regional Meeting. Usual organizing. John Matonis, legal counsel. [4] || Noon. Voluntary contribution. South End of El Dorado Park, Long Beach. For info, call Organizing Comittee (714) 778-3675.

April 27 Libertarian Open (Last Monday) Speaker/ Program to be announced. || 6:45 P.M.-9:45 P.M. Charge, reservations? Probably at Al's Seminar Room, Suite 202, 236 East Third Street, Long Beach, CA 90802. Leave message at (213) 590-0486. May 1 NLCo Shareholders' Dinner (Biennial) NL staff present. || 6 P.M. You must own shares to attend (each may bring one guest), but anyone is free to buy a share. For more information, write NLCo (see colophon below).

May 6 Free Marin Supper Club (First Wednesday) Tom Bethell, Washington Correspondent for The American Spectator tells us "My Disagreements With Libertarians." [An agorist?] [1.9] || 6:30 (b&w), 7:15 (d), 8:15 (p). \$14/5 at Tiffany's Restaurant, 100 Nellen Ave. (Lucky Drive exit off 101), Corte Madera, CA. You must reserve 48 hr. prior from Dan Dougherty (415) 457-1411; Free Marin Supper Club, Box 367, Kentfield, CA 94914-0367.

May 11 (Monday) MLL Unconference. "Leftward Ho!" SEK3 and others on new tactical shifts, new student publication. For information, MLL, Box 1748, Long Beach, CA 90801-1748.

May? (Spring) The Agorfst Institute Seminar "To be announced." For Information write Al Outreach Department: Seminars, 236 East 3rd Street, Suite 201, Long Beach, CA 90802 • (213) 590-0488. Cost: \$20-\$30. [5.0]

June 21–26 (special) "An Advanced Instructional Conference in Austrian Economics at Stanford University. With Murray N. Rothbard, Walter Block, Roger W. Garrison, Charles Baird, and Hans Hermann Hoppe. [4-5] || \$595, student scholarships available. 5½ days. Lots of extras like swimming, golf, performing arts, bookstore. Apply to Ludwig von Mises Institute, 322 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002 · (202) 543-7696.

NEW LIBERTARIAN Notes & Calendar is published five or more times a year between issues of NEW LIBERTARIAN magazine. Subscriptions: no longer available separate from NEW LIBERTARIAN: The Magazine. A combined subscription is available for \$17.50 for one year (10 issues minimum) or 1.5 gmAu until May 31, 1987! Send letters, submissions, complaints and subscriptions to New Libertarian Company of Free Traders (NLCO), 1515 West MacArthur Blvd., #19, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 • U.S.A. If you must phone, leave a message at (213) 432-5839. Editor & Creator: Samuel Edward Konkin III. Contributing Editors: Murray N. Rothbard, E. Scott Royce. Art Director & Subscriptions: Victor Koman. Staff: J. Kent Hastings, Chris Schaefer, Sean McIntosh, Ernie Sewell, John Strang, Robert Andrew Bartha. Proofreading: Hastings, Sewell, Strang, Koman. And everyone still disagrees!

NL: Newsletter & **NL:** Magazine [Continued from Page One, Column One]

accessible to non-movement types. We'll let others handle the boring part of the market.

• Finally, some of you want more letters, and, surprisingly, few of you care about meetings and dates. Even most of the meeting and club entrepreneurs don't care if their assembly is announced outside their private mailing list. [See page one, column three.] So, less Calendar—but we will announce any meeting that anyone feels important enugh to send in. At this point, NL's all the Movement has for a Journal of Record.

To satisfy these demands, we are *very* ambitions. **NL** currently promises 5-16-page magazines a year (minimum) and 5-3-page newsletters; total: 86 pages. (In fact, we have been running 20, 28 and 32-page issues to keep the annual page-count up to compensate for our low frequency.) Even at 20-page issues, that's 115 pages. Volume <u>Five</u> will drop one issue of the magazine per year, increase minimum page count per issue to 24, and raise the page count of the newsletter to *eight* pages per issue, adding one per year to make up for the missing magazine. That's 96 + 48 or 144 pages!

In other words, Volume Five will attempt four magazines and six newsletters and skip only two months a year to maintain a near-monthly sched-

ule. Furthermore, should we *still* blow a magazine deadline in our recovery, we will put out the newsletter anyway — as close to a guarantee you'll get your monthly fix of New Libertarianism as we can humanly promise.

Volume Five will be known as **NEW LIB-ERTARIAN:** The Magazine and **NEW LIB-ERTARIAN:** The Newsletter, but subscriptions will be fully integrated, i.e., one subscription for both. The numbering will be consecutive regardless of which is published. The News, Notes & Views, Royce Column and Calendar already common to both will be maintained but we will add columns to **NL:** The Newsletter on a rotating basis as space allows. Letters will not only appear in both formats but in fact begin in N&C next issue. Which brings us to...

The Transition

NEW LIBERTARIAN *Notes & Calendar* will complete a total of ten issues (**18.1**, which you are reading, **18.2** (June) and **18.3** (July). All *should* come out the usual two months before cover date. **NL18**, a 32-page special on "Is There A Libertarian Culture?" follows for August (in June). Then July brings **NL:** *The Newsletter V/* **1** (*i.e.*, volume V [or 5], number one).

Later that Fall **NL19/20/21**, the Triple All-SF Issue closes out Volume Four officially. Winter will see the first **NL:** *The Magazine* in the Volume 5 series, interrupting the newsletters; the tentative theme is "The Passion of the Post-Objectivists."

What's it gonna cost? First, the renewal notices enclosed in this mailing are the last chances to renew under the old system. Since "combo"

subscribers will receive *more* issues and pages then they contracted for, we'll just convert the remainder to the new system on a one-for-one basis — but if you were to expire at **NL19** or later, you will get **NL19/20/21** no matter what!

Those now moving into the minority, "pure" magazine subscribers, will mostly terminate with NL19, 20 or 21. No "pure" magazine subscriptions will exist after NL (Volume 4) 19/20/21, and no renewals on that basis wil be accepted — beginning immediately! Prices will go up slightly in June but those renewing now will beat them; that is, a "combo" sub now of \$17.50 will be treated as a normal ten-issue sub. to NL, Volume 5. Likely price in coming issues: \$19.95 or a flat \$20.

We think we've got a real winner here and already have dreams of doubling **NL**'s circulation *again* to 2000 (the All-SF ish will be a minimum of 3000!). But we will definitely need a one-time surge of capital.

Want to show your support for our bold new moves? Renew! Advertise! And, buy NLCo shares and become part of the New Libertarian Company of Free Traders — who publish the only magazine & newsletter unashamed of libertarianism and proud to air Movement news and views since 1970! (Share price is fixed at \$50 U.S. or Canadian, 1/10 Troy ounce or 3.11 gram Au, for 1987. Price will be market-determined as of January 1988. Latest Shareholder Report available May 1, 1987.)

On The First Day Of The Year 2000,
God will die, but on Saturday,
The Fourth Day of April, 1987,
Author Victor Koman will sign

The Jehovah

Contract

from 1–4 p.m. at RICHARD KYLE, BOOKS

242 East Third Street, Long Beach, Ca. (213) 432-1192

A dying assassin is given one last assignment and one last chance for survival. The job — to find God Almighty and destroy Him. The payment — eternal life. With the aid of a beautiful lady gambler, an ancient Hollywood witch, and a twelve-year-old telepathic hooker, Dell Ammo breaches the gates of Heaven and Hell to pull the Cosmic trigger.

A Franklin Watts Hardcover — \$1695

Committee to Organize An Open Libertarian Club

meets Monday, March 30, 1987 6:45–9:45 P.M. at the Seminar Room of \mathbb{Th}

Agorist Institute

236 East Third Street, Suite 202 Long Beach, CA 90802 • (213) 590-0488 NOTE: All REMAINS NEUTRAL IN THIS MATTER.

Reservations not required. No charge. Maximum participation of all movement tendencies desired; all welcome.

Purpose: to organize and maintain an open forum (with or without a supper club) for <u>all</u> libertarians, regardless of faction or personal lifestyle.

(Next availble slot for meeting at Al's Seminar Room: Monday, April 27.)

"Everyone attending this meeting disagrees!"